## Beyond the Books Educational Foundation RUBRIC for STANDARD Grant Application

| Evaluation Criteria   | Application Prompts   | 6 - 10 Points   | 2 - 5 Points   | 0 - 1 Point  | Score |
|---|---|---|--|--|-------|
| 1) Application describes a program or activity that is innovative and creative.                                     | Describe an innovative and creative approach to learning that demonstrates a new concept or a unique application of an existing idea.   | The application contains a new concept, or a unique application of an existing concept.   | The application contains a moderately innovative concept or application of a concept.  | Innovation is unclear, poorly articulated, or not present.                                     |       |
| Evaluation Criteria   | Application Prompts   | 4 - 5 Points  | 2- 3 Points  | 0 - 1 Point  | Score |
| 2) Application clearly describes the proposed program or activity.  | Clearly describe the proposal. Identify your goals/objectives and describe what you plan to do.   | Proposal includes a complete description. Goals and objectives are realistic and clearly stated.  | Proposal includes a partial description of the program. Goals and objectives may be unclear or not entirely realistic.   | Proposal description is incomplete or unclear. Goals and objectives are unrealistic or absent. |       |
| 3) Application demonstrates how proposed program or activity will have a direct impact on student achievement.      | Describe in detail how the proposal has a direct impact on the achievement of students. Plan articulates a direct link to student involvement and expected student achievement. | There is a strong and direct link to expected student achievement. The proposal is age appropriate, engaging, and excites student learners. | There is an indirect or partial link to expected student achievement. The proposal potentially increases student learning.   | There is no link to expected student achievement.  |       |
| 4) Application clearly describes collaboration with others within and/ or outside of the school or school district. | Include collaborative partners and how each adds value to the proposed program or activity. These may be grade level(s), inter-departmental, business or community partners.    | Proposal is specific in defining collaborative partners, their roles, and how these partnerships enhance the proposed program or activity.  | The proposal identifies limited collaborative involvement and provides only some indication of the impact the collaborators will have on the proposed program or activity. | There is little or no collaboration identified in the proposal.                                |       |

## Beyond the Books Educational Foundation RUBRIC for STANDARD Grant Application

| Evaluation Criteria   | Application Prompts   | 4 - 5 Points   | 2- 3 Points  | 0 - 1 Point   | Score |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|-------|--|--|
| 5) Application clearly describes teaching strategies and clearly relates those strategies   | Present a clear connection between the proposal or activity and the teaching strategies chosen.   | The proposal is specific in defining the relevant teaching strategies which support the proposed plan or   | The proposal identifies limited teaching strategies supporting the proposed plan or activity.  | There are no teaching strategies included in the proposal.  |       |  |  |
| to the proposal.  |   | activity.  |  |   |       |  |  |
| 6) Application describes how proposed plan or activity can continue in the future or justifies a one-time event.  | Present a clear, realistic proposal showing how the plan or activity can continue in future years, or justify a one-time event.   | The proposal is specific in describing how the proposed plan or activity could continue, or provides justification for a one-time event.   | The proposal somewhat describes continuation of the proposed plan or activity, or shows little justification for a one-time event.                       | The proposal does not have a plan for continuation of the proposed plan or activity, or does not justify a one-time event.                  |       |  |  |
| 7) Application includes a variety of appropriate methods to evaluate the effectiveness of the program or activity.  | Identify and describe clear methods of evaluating the effectiveness of the proposed plan or activity on student achievement.  | Proposal contains description of proposed student outcomes and methods of evaluation. Appropriate methods include, but are not limited to: Observation, Survey, Pre- and Post-testing. | An assessment plan with limited information about student achievement is identified within the plan.   | There are no methods of assessment or evaluation identified in the proposal.  |       |  |  |
| 8) Application includes a list of items, with item cost, that strongly aligns with proposal goals, and a budget in whole dollars that is complete and contains all requested information. | Include a complete, realistic budget of proposal expenditures, a list of items which align with the proposal goals, the approximate cost of each item requested and the total amount to fund the proposal in whole dollars. | Plan includes a complete budget, with itemized costs in whole dollars. Budget items strongly align with program goals. Costs are reasonable.   | Plan includes a complete budget, with itemized costs in whole dollars. Budget items only moderately align with program goals. Most costs are reasonable. | Plan does not include a complete budget with itemized costs. Budget items do not align with program goals, and/or costs are not reasonable. |       |  |  |
| Total Score (Max - 45 points)   |   |  |  |   |       |  |  |